

Space Based Solar Power as a Contributor to Net Zero

Phase 2: Economic Feasibility - Annex A -Model Implementation

FNC 004456-51624R Issue 1.0

Prepared for Department for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS)

SYSTEMS AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE** 



## **DOCUMENT INFORMATION**

Project :	Space Based Solar Power as a Contributor to Net Zero		
Report Title :	Phase 2: Economic Feasibility - Annex A - Model Implementation		
Client :	Department for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS)		
Client Ref. :			
Classification :	COMMERCIAL IN CON	FIDENCE	
Report No. :	FNC 004456-51624R		
Issue No. :	1.0	Compiled By :	Henry Cathcart
Date :	23-Apr-2021	Verified By :	Michael Hall
		Approved By	Sam White
		: Signad :	S.White
		Signed :	

### DISTRIBUTION

Сору	Recipient	Organisation	
1	Joseph Clease	Department for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS)	
2	File	Frazer-Nash Consultancy	

### COPYRIGHT

The Copyright in this work is vested in Frazer-Nash Consultancy Limited. The document is issued in confidence solely for the purpose for which it is supplied. Reproduction in whole or in part or use for tendering or manufacturing purposes is prohibited except under an agreement with or with the written consent of Frazer-Nash Consultancy Limited and then only on the condition that this notice is included in any such reproduction.

Originating Office: FRAZER-NASH CONSULTANCY LIMITED Stonebridge House, Dorking Business Park, Dorking, Surrey, RH4 1HJ T: 01306 885050 F: 01306 886464 W: www.fnc.co.uk



# CONTENTS

A.7	PARAMETER NOMENCLATURE	20
	A.6.4 LEARNING FACTORS	18
	A.6.3 ENABLING SYSTEMS COST	17
	A.6.2 GROUND FACILITY COST	16
	A.6.1 SATELLITE COST	12
A.6	CONSTRUCTION COST	12
A.5	POWER & EFFICIENCY	11
A.4	CAPEX	11
A.3	OPEX	10
A.2	LEVELISED COST OF ENERGY	9
A.1	MODEL STRUCTURE	5



# ANNEX A – MODEL FORMULATION

This Annex provides a detailed description of the mathematical formulation of the model used to predict costs of space based solar power (SBSP) systems and the resulting levelized cost of electricity (LCOE). This annex is intended as a reference document, to ensure full transparency for any resultant LCOE predictions presented in the main body of the report. It provides detailed information on the model relationships which are embedded in the delivered spreadsheet. The model structure is displayed graphically at the start of the annex.

Within equations, expressions in standard text (as opposed to *italics*) refer to parameters defined in the tab "2. DefineRuns". The nomenclature for these parameters is tabulated at the end of this annex. The values of these parameters, and justification for their selection, are included in Annex B.

As the model is probabilistic, the majority of the inputs have been defined as distributions. Four distribution forms have been used: normal, uniform, log-uniform and triangular. A uniform distribution, between a lower bound l and an upper bound u is denoted:

 $X \sim U(l,u)$ 

Uniform distributions are used where a range of values have been determined. A log uniform distribution, between a lower bound l and upper bound u is denoted:

$$X \sim L(l, u)$$

Log-uniform distributions are used in place of uniform distributions where the bounds span an order of magnitude or more.

Triangular distributions, with a lower bound l, an upper bound u and a peak or maximum likelihood m are denoted:

$$X \sim T(l, m, u)$$

Triangular distributions are used where a range of values is available, but either a clustering of values or a highly relevant source indicates one value is most likely.

Normal distributions, with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  are denoted:

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$$

Normal distributions are used where a single value is available, with a nominal uncertainty.



# A.1 MODEL STRUCTURE



Figure A1: Model structure for LCOE calculation





Figure A2: Model structure for system scale calculation











Figure A4: Model structure for satellite mass calculation





Figure A5: Model structure for enabling system cost calculation

# A.2 LEVELISED COST OF ENERGY

The LCOE is the discounted lifetime cost of ownership and use of a generation asset, converted into an equivalent unit of cost of generation £/MWh for comparative purposes. In other words, it is the ratio of total costs associated with SBSP to the total amount of electricity expected to generate over its useful life. The figure is denominated in present value terms implying that the future cost outlays and electricity output are discounted to ensure consistency when comparing technologies which have different cost and benefit profiles over time. LCOE is defined as:

$$LCOE = \frac{N_{CAPEX} + N_{OPEX}}{Y}$$

Where:

- $N_{CAPEX}$  is CAPEX net present value (£);
- $N_{OPEX}$  is OPEX net present value (£);
- Y is system yield (MWh).



The net present values of CAPEX and OPEX are calculated by comparing cumulative discount rates:

$$N_{CAPEX} = \frac{CAPEX}{t_{construction}} D(t_{construction})$$
$$N_{OPEX} = \frac{OPEX}{t_{operation}} \left( D(t_{operation} + t_{construction}) - D(t_{construction}) \right)$$

Where:

- $C_{CAPEX}$  is CAPEX cost (£);
- ► C<sub>OPEX</sub> is OPEX cost (£);
- D(t) is the cumulative discount factor at period t;

These relationships are derived from the sums of geometric series, based around the start of operation years. It is assumed that CAPEX and OPEX are incurred at constant rates throughout the construction and operation periods respectively, because it is not possible to determine a detailed activity timeline or profile of cost accrual at this early stage of development.

The yield is defined as:

$$Y = (P_{\text{grid}} f_{\text{load}} f_{\text{degradation}} * 24 * 365.25) \left( D(t_{\text{operation}} + t_{\text{construction}}) - D(t_{\text{construction}}) \right)$$

Where:

 f<sub>degradation</sub> is a factor to account for the failure and degradation of a limited number of modules during the life of the satellite. It is distributed according to:

 $f_{degradation} \sim U(1 - f_{deg,ub}t_{operation}, 1 - f_{deg,lb}t_{operation})$ 

This distribution assumes a range of average degradation rates from 0 to 0.5% per year;

• The factors 24 and 365.25 are to convert from years to hours.

# A.3 OPEX

The OPEX is calculated as:

 $C_{OPEX} = C_{connection} + C_{operation} + C_{insurance}$ 

Where:

C<sub>connection</sub> is connection and use cost (£), distributed according to:

 $C_{connection} \sim U(c_{culb}P_{grid}t_{operation}, c_{cuub}P_{grid}t_{operation})$ 

• *C<sub>operation</sub>* is operations and maintenance (O&M) cost (£), calculated with:

 $C_{operation} = t_{operation} (f_{operation} C_{ground} + f_{omlb} C_{satellite})$ 

Where  $f_{operation} \sim T(f_{omlb}, f_{omce}, f_{omub})$  is a factor of construction cost incurred per year as an operational cost. The term  $t_{operation} f_{operation} C_{ground}$  is the ground facility O&M cost.

*C*<sub>insurance</sub> is insurance cost (excluding launch, £), given by:

 $C_{insurance} = (1 + i_{profit}) \left( i_{satyearone} + i_{satlife} \left( t_{operation} - 1 \right) \right) C_{satellite}$ 



#### A.4 CAPEX

CAPEX is calculated as:

 $C_{CAPEX} = C_{construction} + C_{predev} + C_{infrastructure}$ 

Where:

- $C_{construction}$  is construction cost (£), described in section A.6.
- $C_{predev}$  is pre-development cost (£), given by:  $C_{predev} \sim U(c_{predevlb}P_{grid}, c_{predevub}P_{grid})$
- *C<sub>infrastructure</sub>* is Infrastructure cost, given by: ►  $C_{infrastructure} \sim U(c_{inflb}P_{grid}, c_{infub}P_{grid})$

#### A.5 **POWER & EFFICIENCY**

In order to produce cost and yield estimates for a SBSP system, its scale must be determined. The scale of each subsystem is modelled as dependent on the power which must be processed through that subsystem, and this power is, in turn, dependent on the subsystem efficiencies. This calculation leads to a hierarchy of systems, efficiencies and powers. The relationships do not design a SBSP system, but instead describe a design concept to allow the sensitivity to key parameters to be explored.

The radio-frequency (RF) power arriving at the ground station, P<sub>around</sub> (MW), is calculated as:

$$P_{ground} = \frac{P_{grid}}{\eta_{RF-grid}}$$

Where:

 $\eta_{RF-arid}$  is the RF-grid efficiency, given by:

 $\eta_{RF-grid} = \eta_{RF-DC} \eta_{DC-AC} \eta_{AC-grid}$ 

 $\eta_{RF-DC}$  is the conversion efficiency from RF to direct current (DC): ► r

$$\eta_{RF-DC} \sim U(\text{eta}_{\text{rfdclb}}, \text{eta}_{\text{rfdcub}})$$

 $\eta_{DC-AC}$  is the conversion efficiency from DC to alternating current (AC): ١

$$\eta_{DC-AC} \sim U(\text{eta}_{\text{dcaclb}}, \text{eta}_{\text{dcacub}})$$

 $\eta_{AC-arid}$  is the conversion efficiency from AC to the grid: •

$$\eta_{AC-grid} \sim U(\text{eta}_{acgridlb}, \text{eta}_{acgridub})$$

The RF power leaving the satellite is termed satellite output power,  $P_{So}$  (MW), and is calculated as:

$$P_{So} = \frac{P_{ground}}{\eta_T} = \frac{P_{grid}}{\eta_{RF-grid}\eta_T}$$

Where:

 $\eta_T$  is the transmission efficiency, calculated from: ▶

 $\eta_T = U(\text{eta}_{\text{tlb}}, \text{eta}_{\text{tub}})$ 

The DC power emitted from the high-concentration photovoltaic (HCPV) module, P<sub>CPV0</sub> (MW), is calculated as:



$$P_{CPVo} = \frac{P_{So}}{\eta_{DC-RF}} = \frac{P_{grid}}{\eta_{RF-grid}\eta_T\eta_{DC-RF}}$$

Where:

•  $\eta_{DC-RF}$  is the efficiency of the conversion from DC from the HCPV to RF emitted from the satellite, calculated as:

$$\eta_{DC-RF} = \eta_{housekeeping} \eta_{WPT}$$

- $\eta_{WPT}$  is the efficiency of the wireless power transmitter (WPT), distributed according to  $\eta_{WPT} \sim T(\text{eta}_{wptlb}, \text{eta}_{wptub})$
- $\eta_{housekeeping}$  is a term to capture up to 10% additional power expenditure on satellite operation, distributed according to  $\eta_{housekeeping} \sim (\text{eta}_{hklb}, \text{eta}_{hkub})$ .

The power incident on the HCPV module,  $P_{CPVi}$  (MW), is calculated as:

$$P_{CPVi} = \frac{P_{CPVo}}{\eta_{CPV}} = \frac{P_{grid}}{\eta_{RF-grid}\eta_T\eta_{DC-RF}\eta_{CPV}}$$

Where:

•  $\eta_{CPV}$  is the HCPV module efficiency, distributed according to  $\eta_{HCPV} \sim U(\text{eta}_{hcpvlb}, \text{eta}_{hcpvub})$ . These values capture the efficiency of the PV and losses through the primary and secondary optical elements.

The power incident on the reflectors, termed satellite input power  $P_{si}$  (MW), is calculated as:

$$P_{Si} = \frac{P_{CPVi}}{\eta_{reflector}} = \frac{P_{grid}}{\eta_{reflector}\eta_{RF-grid}\eta_T\eta_{DC-RF}\eta_{CPV}}$$

Where:

•  $\eta_{reflector} \sim U(\text{eta}_{reflectlb}, \text{eta}_{reflectub})$ 

# A.6 CONSTRUCTION COST

The cost of construction,  $C_{construction}$  (£), is calculated according to:

 $C_{construction} = C_{satellite} + C_{ground} + C_{enabling}$ 

Where:

- $C_{satellite}$  is the cost of the satellite (£), described in section A.6.1;
- $C_{ground}$  is the cost of the ground facility (£), described in section A.6.2;
- $C_{enablina}$  is the cost of enabling systems (£), described in section A.6.3.

## A.6.1 SATELLITE COST

The cost of the satellite, C<sub>satellite</sub>, is broken down into components as follows:

 $C_{satellite} = C_{reflector} + C_{CPV} + C_{thruster} + C_{CC} + C_{WPT} + C_{structure}$ 

Where:

- $C_{reflector}$  is the cost of the reflector or mirror (£);
- $C_{HCPV}$  is the cost of the HCPV modules (£);



- $C_{thruster}$  is the cost of thrusters required for station-keeping (£);
- $C_{cc}$  is the cost of communications and control systems (£);
- $C_{WPT}$  is the cost of the WPT modules (£);
- $C_{structure}$  is the cost of structural elements (£).

The mass of the satellite,  $M_{satellite}$  (kg), is also calculated in order to inform space transportation costs, as follows:

 $M_{satellite} = M_{reflector} + M_{CPV} + M_{thruster} + M_{reaction} + M_{CC} + M_{WPT} + M_{structure}$ 

Where:

- $M_{reflector}$  is the mass of the reflector or mirror, including its supporting structure (kg);
- $M_{HCPV}$  is the mass of the HCPV modules, excluding supporting structure (kg);
- ▶ *M<sub>thruster</sub>* is the mass of thrusters required for station-keeping (kg);
- $M_{reaction}$  is the mass of propellant required for station-keeping (kg);
- $M_{CC}$  is the mass of communications and control systems (kg);
- $M_{WPT}$  is the mass of the WPT modules, excluding supporting structure (kg);
- $M_{structure}$  is the mass of structural elements (kg).

#### A.6.1.1 Reflector

The reflector scale is defined as the solar aperture area of the reflector,  $A_{reflector}$ , calculated as:

$$A_{reflector} = \frac{P_{Si}}{p_{solar}}$$

Note the physical area of the reflector will be larger than it's solar aperture due to the need to redirect the light. This discrepancy is accounted for in  $m_{reflector}^{a}$ . Where:

•  $p_{solar}$  is the power density from the sun,

The cost,  $C_{reflector}$  and mass,  $M_{reflector}$ , of the reflector are factors of this area:

$$M_{reflector} = m_{reflector}^{a} A_{reflector}; \quad C_{reflector} = L_{reflector} c_{reflector}^{m} M_{reflector}$$

Where:

➤ m<sup>a</sup><sub>reflector</sub> is the mass per unit area of reflector (kg/m2) including supporting structure, distributed according to:

 $m_{reflector}^{a} \sim T(\text{ma}_{reflectlb}, \text{ma}_{reflectml}, \text{ma}_{reflectub})$ 

- $c_{reflector}^{m}$  is the cost per unit mass of the reflector (£/kg), distributed according to:  $c_{reflector}^{m} \sim U(cm_{reflectlb}, cm_{reflectub})$
- $L_{reflector}$  is a learning factor for reflector manufacture, described in section A.6.4.

#### A.6.1.2 High Concentration Photovoltaic

The HCPV module scale is defined as the area of the primary optical element (POE),  $A_{HCPV}$  (m<sup>2</sup>), defined as:

$$A_{HCPV} = \frac{P_{HCPVi}}{p_{HCPV}} = \frac{A_{reflector}}{f_{conc}}$$

Where:



- $p_{HCPV}$  is the power density striking the HCPV module or HCPV insolation, calculated as:  $p_{HCPV} = p_{solar} f_{conc} \eta_{reflector}$
- $f_{conc}$  is the reflector concentration factor, equal to two as a design assumption.

The mass,  $M_{HCPV}$  (kg), and cost,  $C_{HCPV}$  (£), of the HCPV module are calculated as:

 $M_{HCPV} = m^a_{HCPV} A_{HCPV}; \quad C_{HCPV} = L_{HCPV} c^a_{HCPV} A_{HCPV}$ 

Where:

- $m_{HCPV}^a$  is the mass per unit area of the HCPV module (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), distributed according to:  $m_{HCPV}^a \sim T(ma_{hcpvlb}, ma_{hcpvul}, ma_{hcpvub})$
- $c^a_{HCPV}$  is the cost per unit area of the HCPV module (£/m2), distributed according to:  $c^a_{HCPV} \sim T(ca_{hcpvlb}, ca_{hcpvub})$
- $L_{HCPV}$  is a learning factor for HCPV manufacture, described in section A.6.4.

#### A.6.1.3 Thrusters

The scale of the solar electric thruster system required for station keeping is defined as a number of thrusters  $N_{thruster}$ , distributed according to:

 $N_{thruster} \sim N(n_{thrustmu}, n_{thrustsigma});$ 

The cost,  $C_{thruster}$  (£), and mass  $M_{thruster}$  (kg), of the thruster system are calculated as:  $M_{thruster} = m_{thruster}^{n} N_{thruster}$ ;  $C_{thruster} = L_{thruster} c_{thruster}^{n} N_{thruster}$ 

Where:

•  $m_{thruster}^{n}$  is the mass per thruster (kg), distributed according to:

 $m_{thruster}^n \sim U(m_{thrustlb}, m_{thrustub})$ 

•  $c_{thruster}^{n}$  is the cost per thruster (£), distributed according to:  $c_{thruster}^{n} \sim U(c_{thrustlb}, c_{thrustub})$ 

L<sub>thruster</sub> is a learning factor for thruster manufacture, described in section A.6.4.

The reaction mass required,  $M_{reaction}$  (kg), is calculated as:

$$M_{reaction} = M_{dry} \left( e^{\frac{\text{deltaVt}_{\text{operation}} + \Delta V_{decom}}{g_{I} thruster}} - 1 \right)$$

Where:

•  $M_{drv}$  is the "dry mass" of the satellite (kg) given by:

 $M_{satellite} = M_{reflector} + M_{CPV} + M_{thruster} + M_{CC} + M_{WPT} + M_{structure}$ 

•  $I_{thruster}$  is the thruster specific impulse (s), distributed according to:

$$I_{thruster} \sim U(i_{thrustlb}, i_{thrustub})$$

•  $\Delta V_{decom}$  is the delta-V required for decommissioning, distributed according to:

 $\Delta V_{decom} \sim U(\mathrm{dv}_{\mathrm{decomlb}}, \mathrm{dv}_{\mathrm{decomub}})$ 

It is assumed that the cost of reaction mass is negligible compared to the cost of the thrusters.

### A.6.1.4 Communications and Control

The cost of communications and control systems,  $C_{CC}$  (£), is calculated as:

$$C_{CC} = L_{CC} c_{CC}^m M_{CC}$$



Where:

- $M_{CC}$  is the mass of communications and control systems, distributed according to:  $M_{CC} \sim U(m_{cclb}, m_{ccub})$
- $c_{CC}^{m}$  is the cost per unit mass of communications and control systems (£/kg), distributed according to:

$$c_{CC}^m \sim T(\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{cclb}}, \mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{ccml}}, \mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{ccub}})$$

▶ *L<sub>cc</sub>* is a learning factor for communications and control systems manufacture, described in section A.6.4.

#### A.6.1.5 Wireless Power Transfer

The scale of the WPT system is defined by its aperture radius  $a_{WPT}$ , and its corresponding aperture diameter  $2a_{WPT}$ . This is calculated to match the assumed peak power density on the ground, according to:

$$2a_{WPT} = 2\sqrt{\frac{p_{ground}a_{beam}^2 \left(\frac{3 \times 10^8}{\text{freq}}\right)^2}{P_{WPT}\pi}}$$

Note that this calculation does not include atmospheric absorption as it is assumed that the regulatory limit must be met when no absorption occurs. It also assumes a circular aperture, and no geometrical constraints on the transmitter due to the arrangement of satellite systems.

The cost,  $C_{WPT}$  (£) and mass,  $M_{WPT}$  (kg), of the WPT system are calculated as:

$$C_{WPT} = L_{WPT} c_{WPT}^m; \quad M_{WPT} = m_{WPT}^a \pi a_{WPT}^2$$

Where:

•  $c_{WPT}^m$  is the cost per unit mass of WPT (£/kg), distributed according to:  $c_{WPT}^a \sim U(cm_{wptlb}, cm_{wptub})$ 

- $m_{WPT}^a$  is the mass per unit area of WPT (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), distributed according to:  $m_{WPT}^a \sim U(\text{ma}_{wptlb}, \text{ma}_{wptub})$
- $L_{WPT}$  is a learning factor for WPT manufacture, described in section A.6.4.

#### A.6.1.6 Structure

The mass of the structure,  $M_{structure}$  (kg), is scaled on the mass of other elements:

$$M_{structure} = r_{structure} (M_{HCPV} + M_{WPT} + M_{reflector})$$

Where:

*r*<sub>structure</sub> is a factor distributed according to:

 $r_{structure} \sim N(r_{structmu}, r_{structsigma})$ 

The cost of the structure,  $C_{structre}$  (£), is calculated as:

$$C_{structure} = c_{structure}^m M_{structure}$$

Where  $c_{structure}^{m}$  is the cost per unit mass of structure (£/kg), and is distributed according to:

 $c_{structure}^{m} \sim N(c_{structmu}, c_{structsigma})$ 

No learning factor is included for structure manufacture due to the relatively low complexity and initial cost of structural modules.



## A.6.2 GROUND FACILITY COST

The cost of the ground facility,  $C_{around}$  (£), is decomposed as:

 $C_{ground} = C_{rectenna} + C_{land} + C_{control} + C_{BoP}$ 

Where:

•  $C_{rectenna}$  is the cost of the rectenna (£).

•  $C_{land}$  is the cost of land for the site (£).

- $C_{control}$  is the cost of power and mission control facilities (£), distributed according to:  $C_{control} \sim N(c_{controlmu}, c_{controlsigma})$
- $C_{BoP}$  is the cost of the electrical balance of plant (£), distributed according to:  $C_{BoP} \sim U(c_{boplb} P_{grid}, c_{bopub} P_{grid})$

This distribution is derived from the range of estimates for terrestrial solar PV, as the balance of plant systems are expected to be similar. Reference [12] gives a cost range of  $\pounds$ 124,500-217,500/MW An EU assessment for solar PV [33] quotes  $\pounds$ 180,000/MW. For 100MW utility solar PV systems a cost of \$0.13/W is quoted, leading to a value of  $\pounds$ 97,500/MW, used as a lower bound [34].

#### A.6.2.1 Rectenna and Land

The rectenna scale is defined by its minor axis radius,  $a_{rectenna}$  (m), which is calculated as:

$$a_{rectenna} = \frac{1.22 \left(\frac{3 \times 10^8}{\text{freq}}\right) a_{\text{beam}}}{2a_{WPT}}$$

This calculation is based on the size of the WPT aperture and the diffraction pattern of the beam. A design assumption is that the rectenna is sized at the first minimum of the Airy disc which is a point of relatively diminishing returns from increasing rectenna size. It is possible that the size of the rectenna could be varied with consequences for the beam capture efficiency,  $\eta_{capture}$ .

The rectenna cost,  $C_{rectenna}$  (£), is calculated as:

$$C_{rectenna} = \frac{c_{rectenna}^a \pi a_{rectenna}^2}{\sin(31^\circ)}$$

Where:

- $c^a_{rectenna}$  is the rectenna cost per unit area (£/m2), distributed according to:  $c^a_{rectenna} \sim U(ca_{rectlb}, ca_{rectub})$
- The factor of sin(31°) accounts for the inclination angle of the satellite, from a design assumption.

The cost of land,  $C_{land}$  (£), is calculated as:

$$C_{land} = \frac{c_{land}^a \pi a_{rectenna}^2}{\sin(31^\circ)}$$

Where:

•  $c_{land}^{a}$  is the cost per unit area of land (£/m2), distributed according to:

 $c_{land}^a \sim T(ca_{landlb}, ca_{landml}, ca_{landub})$ 



### A.6.3 ENABLING SYSTEMS COST

The cost of enabling systems,  $C_{enabling}$  (£), is decomposed as follows:

 $C_{enabling} = C_{launchInsurance} + C_{spacelift} + C_{assembly}$ 

Where:

•  $C_{launchInsurance}$  is the cost of launch insurance (£), calculated as:

 $C_{launchInsurance} = i_{risk}C_{satellite}$ 

- $C_{assembly}$  is the cost of in-orbit assembly (£).
- $C_{spacelift}$  is the cost of transferring the satellite from earth to GEO (£)

#### A.6.3.1 Orbital Assembly

Orbital assembly is scaled on the number of robots required,  $N_{robot}$ , calculated from:

$$N_{robot} = \frac{t_{assembly} N_{module}}{t_{construction}}$$

 t<sub>assembly</sub> is the time taken to assemble one module and connect it to the satellite, assumed to be distributed according to:

 $t_{assembly} \sim U(t_{assemblylb}, t_{assemblyub})$ 

 $N_{module}$  is the number of satellite modules after all on-ground assembly, calculated as:

$$N_{module} = \frac{M_{satellite}}{M_{module}}$$

•  $M_{module}$  is the mass of each module, distributed according to a design assumption:  $M_{module} \sim U(m_{modulelb}, m_{moduleub})$ 

The cost,  $C_{assembly}$  (£), and mass,  $M_{assembly}$  (kg), of orbital assembly are then calculated as:

$$C_{assembly} = L_{robot} M_{assembly} c_{robot}^{m}; M_{assembly} = N_{robot} m_{robot}^{n}$$

Where:

•  $c_{robot}^n$  is the cost per robot (£), distributed according to:

$$c_{robot}^m \sim U(\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{robotlb}},\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{robotub}})$$

 $m_{robot}^{n}$  is the mass per robot (kg), distributed according to:

$$m_{robot}^n \sim T(\mathbf{m}_{robotlb}, \mathbf{m}_{robotml}, \mathbf{m}_{robotub})$$

 L<sub>robot</sub> is a learning factor for orbital assembly robot manufacture, described in section A.6.4.

To aid calculation of the cost of orbital assembly, the terms which depend upon the number of robots,  $L_{robot}$  and  $N_{robot}$ , are grouped. This involves the calculation of a "learning adjusted number of robots required",  $N_{robot}^{learn}$  as an intermediate calculation step:

$$N_{robot}^{learn} = L_{robot} N_{robot}$$

The cost,  $C_{assembly}$ , is then calculated according to:

$$C_{assembly} = N_{robot}^{learn} m_{robot}^{n} c_{robot}^{m}$$

#### A.6.3.2 Spacelift

The cost of transferring the satellite from earth to GEO,  $C_{spacelift}$  (£), calculated as:

$$C_{spacelift} = c_{spacelift}^{m} (M_{satellite} + M_{assembly})$$



Where:

c<sup>m</sup><sub>spacelift</sub> is the cost per unit mass transferred to GEO, distributed according to:

 $c_{spacelift} \sim L(cm_{liftlb}, cm_{liftub})$ 

### A.6.4 LEARNING FACTORS

The cost data available for many elements of the satellite, ground and enabling systems are for first of a kind of the respective systems. Significant cost savings are expected during mass production of these systems, as will be required for the modular SBSP satellite proposed. For the 'n of a kind' system considered, part of a 5-sattelite constellation, the unit costs of many modules will be greatly reduced compared to the cost data used.

The effects of mass production on costs are considered using learning factors [19]. The cost of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  identical module,  $C_n$ , (£) is calculated as:

$$C_n = C_1 n^{f_{LC}}$$

Where:

- $C_1$  is the cost of the first of a kind module (£)
- $f_{LC}$  is a coefficient controlling the reduction, which is distributed according to:  $f_{LC} \sim T(\text{learn}_{\text{elb}}, \text{learn}_{\text{eub}})$

The total cost of *N* modules, assuming  $N_0$  have previously been produced is the "n of a kind cost",  $C_{noak}$ :

$$C_{noak} = C_1 \sum_{i=N_0}^{N+N_0-1} i^{f_{LC}}$$

Where the top limit has been reduced by 1 to prevent over accounting due to the inclusive sum. The learning factor, *L*, is defined as the ratio of the "n of a kind" cost  $C_{noak}$ , to the cost of the equivalent first of a kind system,  $C_{foak}$ :

$$L = \frac{C_{noak}}{C_{foak}} = \frac{\sum_{x=N_0}^{N+N_0-1} x^{f_{LC}}}{\sum_{x=1}^{N} x^{f_{LC}}}$$

Evaluation of this sum is computationally challenging when N is large, hence for the purposes of modelling this is approximated using the inequality:

$$\sum_{x=N_0}^{N+N_0-1} x^{f_{LC}} \ge \frac{1}{N} \int_{N_0}^{N+N_0} x^{f_{LC}} dx$$

Therefore:

$$\sum_{x=N_0}^{N+N_0-1} x^{f_{LC}} \ge \frac{(N+N_0)^{f_{LC}+1} - (N_0)^{f_{LC}+1}}{(f_{LC}+1)}$$
$$\sum_{x=1}^{N} x^{f_{LC}} \ge \frac{(N+1)^{f_{LC}+1} - 1}{(f_{LC}+1)}$$

The learning factor is calculated assuming this approximation holds as an equality:



$$L = \frac{(N+N_0)^{f_{LC}+1} - (N_0)^{f_{LC}+1}}{(N+1)^{f_{LC}+1} - 1}$$

The values of *N* for each of the modules which learning is applied to are either specified as parameters, such as  $CC_N$  for communications and control or are calculated as the mass of the system divided by a module mass,  $m_{modulelearn}$ , for example for HCPV:

$$\text{HCPV}_{\text{N}} = \frac{M_{HCPV}}{m_{\text{modulelearn}}}$$

This module mass is distinct from that used in the assembly calculations, to account for the fact that some modules may undergo an initial assembly stage prior to spacelift. Values of  $N_0$  are specified as parameters, such as HCPV<sub>N0</sub> for HCPV.



# A.7 PARAMETER NOMENCLATURE

Description	Туре	Lower Bound / Standard Deviation	Maximum Likelihood / Mean / Constant Value	Upper Bound
OPEX Optimism Bias (%)	Constant		ob <sub>opex</sub>	
Ground Station Optimism Bias (%)	Constant		ob <sub>ground</sub>	
Satellite Optimism Bias (%)	Constant		ob <sub>satellite</sub>	
Spacelift Optimism Bias (%)	Constant		ob <sub>spacelift</sub>	
Orbital Assembly Optimism Bias (%)	Constant		ob <sub>assembly</sub>	
At Grid Capacity (MW)	Constant		Pgrid	
Design Life (y)	Constant		t <sub>operation</sub>	
Discount Rate (Spend)	Constant		d	
Discount Rate (Yield)	Constant		dy	
Construction Time (y)	Constant		t <sub>construction</sub>	
Solar Insolation (W/m2)	Constant		p <sub>sun</sub>	
Mirror Concentration Factor	Constant		f <sub>conc</sub>	
RF Frequency (Hz)	Constant		freq	
Maximum Beam Distance (m)	Constant		a <sub>beam</sub>	
RF Intensity Limit (W/m2)	Constant		p <sub>ground</sub>	
Load Factor	Constant		f <sub>load</sub>	
HCPV N0	Constant		HCPV <sub>N0</sub>	
WPT N0	Constant		WPT <sub>N0</sub>	
Thruster N0	Constant		thruster <sub>N0</sub>	
Reflector N0	Constant		reflector <sub>N0</sub>	
CC N	Constant		CC <sub>N</sub>	
CC N0	Constant		CC <sub>N0</sub>	
Orbit keeping delta V (m/s/y)	Constant		deltaV	
Gravitational Constant (m/s2)	Constant		g	
Rectenna N	Constant		rectenna <sub>N</sub>	
Rectenna N0	Constant		rectenna <sub>N0</sub>	
Learning Module Mass	Constant		m <sub>modulelearn</sub>	
Launch Insurance Risk	Constant		i <sub>risk</sub>	
Satellite Insurance Risk, First Year	Constant		İ <sub>satyearone</sub>	
Annual Satellite Insurance Risk, After First Year	Constant		İ <sub>satlife</sub>	
Insurance Profit Margin	Constant		İprofit	
Degradation Rate	Uniform	f <sub>deglb</sub>		f <sub>degub</sub>



Description	Туре	Lower Bound / Standard Deviation	Maximum Likelihood / Mean / Constant Value	Upper Bound
O&M Factor	Triangle	f <sub>omlb</sub>	f <sub>omml</sub>	f <sub>omub</sub>
Connection & Use Cost (£)	Uniform	C <sub>culb</sub>		C <sub>cuub</sub>
Infrastructure Cost (£)	Uniform	C <sub>inflb</sub>		C <sub>infub</sub>
Pre-Development Cost (£)	Uniform	Cpredevlb		C <sub>predevub</sub>
RF to DC Efficiency	Uniform	eta <sub>rfdclb</sub>		eta <sub>rfdcub</sub>
DC to AC Efficiency	Uniform	eta <sub>dcaclb</sub>		eta <sub>dcacub</sub>
AC to Grid Efficiency	Uniform	eta <sub>acgridlb</sub>		eta <sub>acgridub</sub>
Transmission Efficiency	Uniform	eta <sub>tlb</sub>		eta <sub>tub</sub>
WPT Efficiency	Triangle	eta <sub>wptlb</sub>	eta <sub>wptml</sub>	eta <sub>wptub</sub>
Housekeeping Efficiency	Uniform	eta <sub>hklb</sub>		eta <sub>hkub</sub>
HCPV Efficiency	Uniform	eta <sub>hcpvlb</sub>		eta <sub>hcpvub</sub>
Reflector Efficiency	Uniform	eta <sub>reflectlb</sub>		eta <sub>reflectub</sub>
HCPV Mass Per Area (kg/m^2)	Triangle	ma <sub>hcpvlb</sub>	ma <sub>hcpvml</sub>	ma <sub>hcpvub</sub>
Learning Exponent	Triangle	learn <sub>elb</sub>	learn <sub>eml</sub>	learn <sub>eub</sub>
HCPV Cost per Unit Area (£/m2)	Triangle	ca <sub>hcpvlb</sub>	ca <sub>hcpvml</sub>	ca <sub>hcpvub</sub>
Reflector Mass per Unit Area (kg/m^2)	Triangle	ma <sub>reflectlb</sub>	ma <sub>reflectml</sub>	ma <sub>reflectub</sub>
Reflector Cost per Unit Mass (£/kg)	Uniform	cm <sub>reflectlb</sub>		cm <sub>reflectub</sub>
WPT Mass per Unit Area (kg/m^2)	Uniform	ma <sub>wptlb</sub>		ma <sub>wptub</sub>
WPT Cost per Unit Mass (£/kg)	Uniform	cm <sub>wptlb</sub>		cm <sub>wptub</sub>
Number of Thruster Units	Normal	n <sub>thrustsigma</sub>	n <sub>thrustmu</sub>	
Thruster Cost per Unit (£)	Uniform	C <sub>thrustlb</sub>		C <sub>thrustub</sub>
Thruster Mass per Unit (kg)	Uniform	m <sub>thrustlb</sub>		m <sub>thrustub</sub>
Communications and Control Systems Cost per Unit Mass (£/kg)	Triangle	cm <sub>cclb</sub>	cm <sub>ccml</sub>	cm <sub>ccub</sub>
Communications and Control Systems Mass (kg)	Uniform	m <sub>cclb</sub>		m <sub>ccub</sub>
Structure Cost per Unit Mass (£/kg)	Normal	C <sub>structsigma</sub>	C <sub>structmu</sub>	
Structural Mass Ratio	Normal	r <sub>structsigma</sub>	r <sub>structmu</sub>	
Thruster Specific Impulse (s)	Uniform	i <sub>thrustlb</sub>		İ <sub>thrustub</sub>
Land Cost per Unit Area (£/m^2)	Triangle	Ca <sub>landlb</sub>	Ca <sub>landml</sub>	Ca <sub>landub</sub>
Rectenna Cost per Unit Area (£/m^2)	Uniform	ca <sub>rectlb</sub>		ca <sub>rectub</sub>
Power Control + Mission Control Facility Cost (£)	Normal	C <sub>controlsigma</sub>	C <sub>controlmu</sub>	



Description	Туре	Lower Bound / Standard Deviation	Maximum Likelihood / Mean / Constant Value	Upper Bound
Electrical Balance of Plant Cost (£)	Uniform	C <sub>boplb</sub>		C <sub>bopub</sub>
Spacelift Cost per Unit Mass (£/kg)	Log-uniform	cm <sub>liftlb</sub>		cm <sub>liftub</sub>
Orbital Module Mass (kg)	Uniform	m <sub>modulelb</sub>		m <sub>moduleub</sub>
Assembly Robot Cost per Unit Mass (£/kg)	Uniform	cm <sub>robotlb</sub>		cm <sub>robotub</sub>
Mass per Assembly Robot (kg)	Triangle	m <sub>robotlb</sub>	m <sub>robotml</sub>	m <sub>robotub</sub>
Days of Assembly per Module (d)	Uniform	t <sub>assemblylb</sub>		t <sub>assemblyub</sub>
Decommissioning Delta V (m/s)	Uniform	dv <sub>decomlb</sub>		dv <sub>decomub</sub>

### **COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE**



Frazer-Nash Consultancy Ltd Stonebridge House Dorking Business Park Dorking Surrey RH4 1HJ

T 01306 885050 F 01306 886464

#### www.fnc.co.uk

Offices at: Bristol, Burton-on-Trent, Dorchester, Dorking,Glasgow, Plymouth, Warrington and Adelaide

### **COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE**